

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/034,163	12/27/2001	Joong Jeon	G0518	1562
75	590 06/11/2003			
Joseph J. Crimaldi		EXAMINER		
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP			FOONG, SUK SAN	
Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue			100110, 0011 57111	
Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2823	- "
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action		10/034,163	JEON, JOONG				
• 0		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Suk-San Foong	2823				
The I	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
b) The peri event, he ONLY C 706.07(f		risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	fthe final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The prop	osed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NO.	ГЕ:						
• • •	t's reply has overcome the following rejec						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
	avit or exhibit will NOT be considered be	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purp	oses of Appeal, the proposed amendment ion of how the new or amended claims w						
The statu	us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s)	allowed:						
Claim(s)	Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s)	Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s)	withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The prop	osed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	W (1				
10. Other: _			George Fourson Primary Examiner				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is noted that the definition of "high-K dielectric material" and "low-K dielectric material" is provided on page 6, lines 8-21. However, the definition with respect to the dielectric constant only fails to define the material in terms of the chemical nature or, specifically, chemical reactivity. Applicant fails to point to guidance in the disclosure as originally filed to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to determine suitable combinations of "high-K dielectric material" and "standard-K dielectric material" that will form a composite dielectric layer as recited. That is, there is a chemical reaction occuring between the "standard-K dielectric material" and "high-K dielectric material" to form the composite dielectric layer and the applicant has not provided guidance to determine a suitable second materials (i.e. "high-K dielectric material") given a first material (i.e. "standard-K dielectric material") or vice versa.

It is well-establised that each application stands on its own merits. Furthermore, in the patents discussed by applicant as containing the terms, there is no reaction occurring.